Ivy+ Constitution

- Chris from Dartmouth will take the lead
- MIT: We should each select one person from each delegation to communicate with Chris about the Constitution
- Dartmouth: Maybe make a Slack group?
- Cornell: Does it have to be the President from each delegation that will work on the Constitution?
- Harvard: No.
  - General agreement from everyone
- Penn: The people who help draft the Constitution probably won’t be the Presidents, since they have a lot of other things on their plate.
- MIT: We have a University Liaison position who will be doing this.
- MIT: Will we form a committee to draft the language?
  - General agreement that we will
- Harvard: Not all nine schools should have to help draft it.
- Dartmouth: Everyone should have a voice on the committee, but also have the option to stay silent.
- Penn: We should have a timeline for choosing the committee. If a school chooses not to staff it, that’s fine.
- Columbia: We would like to reiterate that we would like the Constitution to be short.
- Timeline – Chicago’s exec turns over in the spring, other schools have different timelines. Brown turns over in the winter.
- MIT: By next week, each school should pick a delegate and within a month, have the first meeting.
- Columbia: Is there a deadline for the first draft? Or will committee set the deadline.
- Dartmouth: Final draft should be done by April 1. How much time will we need for ratification?
- Columbia: Will look into exploring option to have Deans finance Ivy+.
- Harvard: What will the voting process look like? Each school’s Council/Association will have to approve it.
- MIT: It’s on each school to approve things that their Council will pass.
- Brown: Proposal for timeline – have the first draft by Dec. 31st, have the final draft by April 1st.
  - All in agreement.
- Columbia: All schools should provide feedback by Jan 31st.
  - All in agreement.